joel becher 3540 stony point rd. grand island, ny 14072

Commussioner Michael J. Copps Federal Communications Commission 445-12th Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Commissioner Michael J. Copps:

Thousands of American consumers have already expressed their opposition to the FCC's adoption of a "broadcast flag". I am writing to join them. As a user of open—source software, adoption of the broadcast flag will mean I am unable to receive digital television broadcasts on my computer.

Adopting the broadcast flag will make the FCC stand for "Federal Computer Control" which is outside its proper role. It is not the FCC's place to effectively choose the software licenses or computer operating systems that consumers must use in order to watch digital television broadcast on their computers.

Additionally, adoption of the broadcast flag will harm innovation. Many users of open—source software are computer programmers and "tinkerers" who work to improve the software. Their contributions and constant innovation is what makes open—source software able to compete in the marketplace.

The broadcast flag rule advocated by the MPAA will ban open—source implementations of VSB and QAM modulators and demodulators, preventing open—source programmers from innovating in field of digital communications techniques used by television.

Most Americans assumed that when television became digital, viewers would be able to do more with television programming, not less. Without innovative new products and flexibility in the ways consumers are able to watch TV, consumers will be less inclined to invest in the equipment to view digital television. Therefore, the broadcast flag is likely to slow adoption of digital television in addition to making it illegal to watch digital television on a computer using open—source software. It is for these reasons I urge you to promote the digital television transition by opposing adoption of the broadcast flag.

Sincerely,

joel becher

Commissioner Michael J Copps Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, NW Washington, D C 20554

Dear Michael Copps

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of ${\sf DTV}$

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time

Sincerely,

Greg Dunn 810 Baxter St #B-1 Athens, GA 30605 USA

Commissioner Michael J Copps Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, NW Washington, D C 20554

Dear Michael Copps,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time

Sincerely,

Holly Stadtler 9701 Stoneham Terrace Bethesda, MD 20817 USA

Commissioner Michael J. Copps Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Michael Copps,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A tobust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Rapiv Manglani 96 Brook St Brookline, MA 02445 USA

Commissioner Michael J Copps Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, NW Washington, D C 20554

Dear Michael Copps,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Jason Whong 4039 Watkins Road Miliport, NY 14864 USA Octobes 22, 2003

Commissioner Michael J. Copps Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Michael Copps,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Taylor House 3345 E Menadota Dr Phoenix, AZ 85050 USA

Commissioner Michael J. Copps Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Michael Copps,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Arnit Belani 796 Bronx River Road, #B65 Bronxville, NY 10708 USA

shaun kelly 628 mater unit G Eielson Air Force Base AK 99702

Commissioner Michael J. Copps Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Commissioner Michael J. Copps.

As a broadcast television viewer and consumer of electronics and computer products, I urge the Federal Communications Communications Communications to vote against the adoption of a "broadcast flag." I am outraged that the FCC would consider a regulation would restrict the way I enjoy television.

The broadcast flag is neither in my interest nor the public's interest. It will prevent me from watching digital broadcast television in the ways I currently enjoy analog broadcast television—for example, it will restrict my ability to move the video I have recorded for personal viewing from room—to—room and place—to—place.

The broadcast flag will also lock out my computer as a way to watch my favorite shows using my choice of software on a plane or train, or to send a television clip of a high school football game to family and friends

Furthermore, if computers cannot freely receive digital television, how can I expect creative developers to discover new devices that enable me to use content in exciting ways I haven't even thought of? I value innovative devices like TiVo, ReplayTV and the Windows Media Center PC, which exist today because they were built to open standards using inexpensive, off—the—shelf computer parts.

If the move to digital television does not make the public's viewing experience more enjoyable, flexible, and exciting, what compelling reason do I have as a consumer to buy new digital television equipment? A prettier picture is hardly enough reason for me to dispense with all my current consumer electronics and computer equipment. As a citizen and viewer of broadcast television, I urge you to promote the digital television transition by opposing adoption of the broadcast flag.

Sincerely,

shaun kelly

Commissioner Michael J Copps Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, NW Washington, D C 20554

Dear Michael Copps,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

I recently purchased a Panasonic 106h DVR. This Digital Video Recorder has a hard drive that can store upto 106 hours of video.

"Great!", I thought, "copy the kid's DVD's to the harddrive so that the peanut butter covered fingers can't destroy the Disney original!

I figured I could load up our DVD's like a play list and let the kids use the remote to control what they want to watch Maybe make a backup copy of a few of my favorites to play on my laptop while traveling

Well, guess what, the DVD's have the broadcast flag already on them. You can't copy them using this machine

My head was spinning as I read the chapter of "can and can't" on the broadcast flag. Finally, when I couldn't play a DVD I made from a broadcast TV show on my computer, I took the thing back

I WILL NOT BUY ANOTHER DVR/HDTV If it has the broadcast flag!

Sincerely,

Ed Wehner 1201 Lydla Ln Saint Paul, MO 63366 USA

Commissioner Michael J Copps Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, NW Washington, D C 20554

Dear Michael Copps,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studies to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studies to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

David Roth 255 Ellwood Beach Dr Goleta, CA 93117 USA

Jack Somers 345 Mustang Port Aransas Tx 78373

Commissioner Michael J. Copps Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Commissioner Michael J. Copps.

As a broadcast television viewer and consumer of electronics and computer products, I urge the Federal Communications Commission to vote against the adoption of a "broadcast flag." I am outraged that the FCC would consider a regulation would restrict the way I enjoy television.

The broadcast flag is neither in my interest nor the public's interest. It will prevent me from watching digital broadcast television in the ways I currently enjoy analog broadcast television—for example, it will restrict my ability to move the video I have recorded for personal viewing from room—to—room and place—to—place

The broadcast flag will also lock out my computer as a way to watch my favorite shows using my choice of software on a plane or train, or to send a television clip of a high school football game to family and friends

Furthermore, if computers cannot freely receive digital television, how can I expect creative developers to discover new devices that enable me to use content in exciting ways I haven't even thought of? I value innovative devices like TiVo, ReplayTV and the Windows Media Center PC, which exist today because they were built to open standards using inexpensive, off—the—shelf computer parts.

If the move to digital television does not make the public's viewing experience more enjoyable, flexible, and exciting, what compelling reason do I have as a consumer to buy new digital television equipment? A pretuer picture is hardly enough reason for me to dispense with all my current consumer electronics and computer equipment. As a citizen and viewer of broadcast television, I urge you to promote the digital television transition by opposing adoption of the broadcast flag.

Sincerely,

Jack Somers

Steven L. Scott 540 11th Street Hermosa Beach, CA 90254

Commussioner Michael J. Copps Federal Communications Commussion 445 12th Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Commissioner Michael J. Copps:

Thousands of American consumers have already expressed their opposition to the FCC's adoption of a "broadcast flag". I am writing to join them. As a user of open—source software, adoption of the broadcast flag will mean I am unable to receive digital television broadcasts on my computer.

It is not the FCC's place to effectively choose the software licenses or computer operating systems that consumers must use in order to watch digital television broadcast on their computers.

Additionally, adoption of the broadcast flag will harm innovation. Many users of open—source software are computer programmers and "tinkerers" who work to improve the software. Their contributions and constant innovation is what makes open—source software able to compete in the marketplace.

The broadcast flag rule advocated by the MPAA will ban open—source implementations of VSB and QAM modulators and demodulators, preventing open—source programmers from innovating in field of digital communications techniques used by television.

Most Americans assumed that when television became digital, viewers would be able to do more with television programming, not less. Without innovative new products and flexibility in the ways consumers are able to watch TV, consumers will be less inclined to invest in the equipment to view digital television. Therefore, the broadcast flag is likely to slow adoption of digital television in addition to making it illegal to watch digital television on a computer using open—source software. It is for these reasons I urge you to promote the digital television transition by opposing adoption of the broadcast flag.

Sincerely,

Steven L. Scott

Robert Gunnip 1203 Price Reese Rd Lincolnton, GA 30817

Commissioner Michael J. Copps Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Commissioner Michael J. Copps:

As a broadcast television viewer, educator, and consumer of electronics and computer products, I urge the Federal Communications Commission to vote against the adoption of a "broadcast flag." I am surprised that the FCC would consider a regulation to restrict the way I enjoy and use television.

The broadcast flag is not in the public's interest. It will prevent me from watching digital broadcast television in the ways I currently enjoy analog broadcast television—for example, it will restrict my ability to move the video I have recorded for personal viewing from room—to—room and place—to—place, and especially from home to school

The broadcast flag will also lock out my computer as a way for my stepdaughter to watch shows using my choice of software on an airplane.

Furthermore, if computers cannot freely receive digital television, how can I expect creative developers to discover new devices that enable me to use content in exciting ways I haven't even thought of? I value innovative devices like TiVo, which exist today because they were built to open standards using inexpensive, off—the—shelf computer parts.

If the move to digital television does not make the public's viewing experience more enjoyable, flexible, and exciting, what compelling reason do I have as a consumer to buy new digital television equipment? A prettier picture is hardly enough reason for me to dispense with all my current consumer electronics and computer equipment. As a citizen and viewer of broadcast television, I urge you to promote the digital television transition by opposing adoption of the broadcast flag.

Sincerely,

Robert Gunnip

Bryan Cheung 325 16th St Huntington Beach, CA 92648

Commussioner Michael J. Copps Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Commissioner Michael J. Copps

Dear FCC.

I wish to add my voice to the voices of thousands of American software developers and consumers who have already expressed their objections to the adoption of a "broadcast flag" by the FCC. The broadcast flag rule advocated by the MPAA will cripple my ability as an independent software developer to develop competitive software solutions that interact with, receive, or manage digitally broadcast media in conjunction with commonly available computer equipment. Such a rule is harmful to all software developers in the digital broadcast market, the consumers of the American public, and will create an atmosphere of stagnation in the broadcast media software market.

In imposing the broadcast flag rule, the FCC will in essence dictate to the software industry how their products are to be developed and licensed, and which technologies they must use. This is an area which is not the purvue of the FCC – in a free market society, market forces should determine which technologies succeed or fail, not unmandated and unnecessary restrictions created by the FCC. Consumers must be free to choose which solutions and technologies they will use to interact with digital broadcasts. The special interests and greed of large corporations such as the MPAA have no place in discussions about consumers' choice of their digital broadcast products.

Additionally, adoption of the broadcast flag will harm innovation.

Many users of open—source software are computer programmers and "tinkerers" who work to improve the software. Their contributions and constant innovation is what makes open—source software able to compete in the marketplace.

The broadcast flag rule advocated by the MPAA will ban open—source implementations of VSB and QAM modulators and demodulators, preventing open—source programmers from innovating in field of digital communications techniques used by television.

Most Americans assumed that when television became digital, viewers would be able to do more with television programming, not less. Without innovative new products and flexibility in the ways consumers are able to watch TV, consumers will be less inclined to invest in the equipment to view digital television. Therefore, the broadcast flag is likely to slow adoption of digital television in addition to making it illegal to watch digital television on a computer using open—source software. It is for these reasons I urge you to promote the digital television transition by opposing adoption of the broadcast flag

Sincerely,

Bryan Cheung

Sincerely,

Bryan Cheung

Page 1 of 1 3 13 12 PM, 10/28/03 5413023099 -

October 28, 2003

Commissioner Michael J Copps Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, NW Washington, D C 20554

Dear Michael Copps,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studies to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studies to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time

Sincerely,

Mark Leatherwood 1100 S 2000 E Apt K383 Clearfield, UT 84015 USA

-**адъ** гог го ээ РМ, 10/28/03 54/3023099

October 22, 2003

Commissioner Michael J Copps Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, NW Washington, D C 20554

Dear Michael Copps,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studies to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studies to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time

Sincerely,

Marshall Robin 5207 Beeman Ave Valley Village, CA 91607 USA

Commissioner Michael J Copps Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, NW Washington, D C 20554

Dear Michael Copps,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

A Schwartz 10908 London Dr Burnsville, MN 55337 USA

Commissioner Michael J. Copps Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Michael Copps.

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Kevin Pickens 15109 Kamputa Dr Centreville, VA 20120 USA

Commissioner Michael J Copps Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, NW Washington, D C 20554

Dear Michael Copps,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time

Sincerely,

John Christensen 330 W Diversey #1002 # 1002 Chicago, IL 60657

Commissioner Michael J Copps Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, NW Washington, D C 20554

Dear Michael Copps,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of ${\tt DTV}$

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time

Sincerely,

Jay Ossiander 3330 Hickory Crest Dr Marietta, GA 30064 USA

Commissioner Michael J Copps Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Michael Copps,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studies to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studies to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time

Sincerely.

John Wailpe 31 Yalu Ct Sacramento, CA 95833 USA

Commissioner Michael J Copps Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, NW Washington, D C 20554

Dear Michael Copps,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Briana Cavanaugh 1720 12thAve #107 Oakland, CA 94606 USA

Commissioner Michael J Copps Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, NW Washington, D C 20554

Dear Michael Copps,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studies to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studies to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time

Sincerely

Christopher Holland 6822 22nd Avenue North #278 Saint Petersburg, FL 33710 USA

Commissioner Michael J Copps Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, NW Washington, D C 20554

Dear Michael Copps,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time

Sincerely,

Michael Peterson 4105 W Rochelle Rd Irving, TX 75062 USA